1/28/70

Dear Paul,

A combinations of things mitigate against my spending time at the Archives. How I should spend my time, how I can pay the simple costs, how I feel, etc. However, I have started a small notebook of things to do there. Also, it is unpleasantly possible that after my Saturday's dental appointment, I may have some trips to make to the dentist. In this unhappy event, the added costs would be only of parking, not more than $2.50. There is the similarly bleak prospect that if and when I succeed in getting out backsliding medical coop to really try and find out why I blocked out Sunday, this also may require trips there. So, because my memory is both failing and clogged, if and when you can find time, why not make notes on things you'd like done, each on a separate sheet, and send them. You refer to one in the mailing I received today and I have already forgotten its essence, but today was a rather bad one.

First let me address the summary to CD 75. Frankly, I must have missed this, as did Tom, or, what should not be likely, I forgot it, for that was long ago. To the best of my knowledge, no one noticed this before. I will try and follow it up Friday, when I'll have little time there. If this is a suppressed doc, I'd like your permission to add it to what I intend to sue for, some of which you already know.

It is, as you say, possible that this is an error and there are, as you also correctly say, so many of them that we must expect some to be genuine FBI performance. However, I am inclined to believe that in this case deB did prepare the summary (does it not really say so- "Report of Warren C. De Brueys"? It does not say lack of contact or no contact, as the summary so often does when that is what they want to say.

This one is worth some time, and I'll just have to try and find the time for it. I'll have time for a cursory check Friday only. However, if you can please send me a list of the pages you have from CD75, as I go over it again with more care, I'll be able to save those slight costs. If you can take the time to put the list in numberical order, that will save much time there.

In fact, I think I should press for everything on Ferrie, and to this end should find time to check each file in which he is mentioned. If Louis, as I asked, comes up with the investigative file from there, that may be helpful.

I want again to emphasize the importance of deB, to reiterate he is also a competent attorney, that the IRM was his beat, that he speaks Spanish, did threaten Pena, did attend anti-Castro meetings, and undoubtedly knew Ferrie, for they were at the same meetings together. We know he had an Oswald investigation going before the assassination and the FBI withheld it from the Commission. What else do we have to know to warrant deep suspicions?

I would like to follow this, together with your mail requests, with no one besides Jim, Bud and possibly Gary being informed. The potential of this in light of the Shaw trial(s) and the real reasons for suppression - and LHO's exceptional request for inverviewing by an FBI agent- is enormous and it must be sensitive. It is the kind of thing that might be worthwhile considering as a departure from my standard procedure, on which we kight, after some failures, want to enlist press interest, although I favor saving it for a suit in a Washington court. With p701, it shoul be hot